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Abstract. In this paper, we consider localized integral operators whose kernels have mild singularity near the diagonal and certain Hölder regularity and decay off the diagonal. Our model example is the Bessel potential operator $J_\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$. We show that if such a localized integral operator has stability on a weighted function space $L^p_w$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$ and Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weight $w$, then it has stability on weighted function spaces $L^{p'}_{w'}$ for all $1 \leq p' < \infty$ and Muckenhoupt $A_{p'}$-weights $w'$.

1. Introduction

Let $K$ be a kernel function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Define the minimal radial function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that is radially decreasing and dominates the off-diagonal decay of the kernel $K$ by

$$r_K(x) := \sup_{|y-y'| \geq |x|} |K(y, y')|.$$ (1.1)

Here $|x| := \max\{|x_1|, \cdots, |x_d|\}$ for $x := (x_1, \cdots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In this paper, we consider integral operators

$$Tf(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x, y)f(y)dy$$ (1.2)

whose kernel $K$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ has its off-diagonal decay dominated by an integrable radially decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e.,

$$\|r_K\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} r_K(x)dx < \infty.$$ (1.3)

The model example of such an integral operator is the Bessel potential [16]

$$J_\gamma f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_\gamma(x - y)f(y)dy, \quad \gamma > 0,$$ (1.4)

where the Bessel kernel $G_\gamma$ is defined with the help of Fourier transform by

$$\hat{G}_\gamma(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d) = \left(1 + |\xi_1|^2 + \cdots + |\xi_d|^2\right)^{-\gamma/2},$$
and the Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \) of an integrable function \( f \) is defined by \( \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \text{d}x \).

For \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), we say that a weight \( w \) on the \( d \)-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^d \) (i.e., a positive locally-integrable function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \)) is an \( A_p \)-weight if

\[
(1.5) \quad \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) \text{d}x \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \text{d}x \right)^{p-1} \leq A < \infty \quad \text{for all cubes } Q
\]

when \( 1 < p < \infty \), and if

\[
(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(y) \text{d}y \leq A \inf_{x \in Q} w(x) \quad \text{for all cubes } Q
\]

when \( p = 1 \) \([7, 9, 15]\). Here \( |E| \) stands for the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set \( E \subset \mathbb{R}^d \). The \( A_p \)-bound of an \( A_p \)-weight \( w \), to be denoted by \( A_p(w) \), is the smallest constant \( A \) for which \((1.5)\) holds when \( 1 < p < \infty \) (respectively \((1.6)\) holds when \( p = 1 \)). Simple nontrivial example of \( A_p \)-weights is the polynomial weight \( w_\alpha(x) := |x|^\alpha \), which is an \( A_p \)-weight if the exponent \( \alpha \) of the polynomial weight \( w_\alpha \) satisfies \(-d < \alpha \leq 0 \) for \( p = 1 \), and \(-d < \alpha < d(p-1) \) for \( 1 < p < \infty \).

Denote by \( I \) the identity operator, and by \( L_w^p := L_w^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \) the space of all measurable functions \( f \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( \|f\|_{p,w} := (\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p w(x) \text{d}x)^{1/p} < \infty \). A well-known result about the integral operator \( T \) in \((1.2)\) is that it is bounded on the weighted function space \( L_w^p \) for any \( p \in [1, \infty) \) and \( A_p \)-weight \( w \). Furthermore there exists an absolute constant \( C \), that depends on \( p \) and \( d \) only, such that

\[
(1.7) \quad \|Tf\|_{p,w} \leq C(A_p(w))^{1/p} \|r_K\|_1 \|f\|_{p,w}
\]

for all \( A_p \)-weights \( w \) and functions \( f \in L_w^p \). In this paper, instead of establishing boundedness of the integral operator \( T \) on \( L_w^p \), we consider stability of integral operators \( zI - T, z \in \mathbb{C}, \) on \( L_w^p \), i.e., there exists a positive constant \( C \) such that

\[
(1.8) \quad \|(zI - T)f\|_{p,w} \geq C\|f\|_{p,w} \quad \text{for all } f \in L_w^p.
\]

We will show that the stability of integral operators \( zI - T, z \in \mathbb{C}, \) on \( L_w^p \) for different \( p \in [1, \infty) \) and \( A_p \)-weights \( w \) are equivalent to each other, provided that the kernel \( K \) of the integral operator \( T \) is assumed, in addition to its off-diagonal decay dominated by an integrable radially decreasing function, to have certain Hölder regularity off the diagonal and mild singularity near the diagonal, i.e.,

\[
(1.9) \quad \|r_K\|_1 + \sup_{0 < \delta \leq 1} \delta^{-\alpha \alpha} \|r_{\omega_\alpha(K)}\|_1 + \sup_{0 < \delta \leq 1} \delta^{-\alpha \alpha} \|r_K \chi_{|\cdot| \leq \delta}\|_1 < \infty
\]

for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \). Here for a kernel function \( K \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \), its modified modulus of continuity \( \omega_\delta(K) \) is defined by.

\[
(1.10) \quad \omega_\delta(K)(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{|x'| - x, |y'| - y| \leq \delta} |K(x', y') - K(x, y)| & \text{if } |x - y| \geq 4\delta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( z \in \mathbb{C}, \) \( K \) be a kernel function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfying \((1.9)\) for some \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \), and let \( T \) be the integral operator in \((1.2)\) with kernel \( K \). If \( zI - T \)
has stability on $L^p_w$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $A_p$-weight $w$, then it has stability on $L^{p'}_w$ for all $1 \leq p' < \infty$ and $A_{p'}$-weights $w'$.

Denote by $s_{p,w}(T)$ the set of all complex numbers $z$ such that $zI - T$ does not have stability on $L^p_w$, and by $s_p(T)$ instead of $s_{p,w_0}(T)$ for short when $w$ is the trivial weight $w_0 \equiv 1$. Then Theorem 1.1 can be reformulated as follows:

\begin{equation}
(1.11) \quad s_{p,w}(T) = s_2(T)
\end{equation}

for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $A_p$-weights $w$, provided that the kernel of the integral operator $T$ satisfies (1.9). We remark that for the operator $zI - T$, it is established in [14] the equivalence of its stability on unweighted function spaces $L^p$ for different exponents $p \in [1, \infty]$, i.e.,

\begin{equation}
(1.12) \quad s_p(T) = s_2(T)
\end{equation}

for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The assumption on the kernel $K$ of the operator $T$ in the above equivalence is that it has certain Hölder regularity and its off-diagonal decay dominated by a function in the Wiener amalgam space $W_1$, the space containing all measurable functions $h$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|h\|_{W_1} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{x \in [-1/2,1/2]^d} |h(k + x)| < \infty$. More precisely, the kernel $K$ satisfies the following condition:

\begin{equation}
(1.13) \quad \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |K(y, \cdot + y)|_{W_1} + \sup_{0<\delta \leq 1} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \delta^{-\alpha} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \omega_\delta(K)(y, \cdot + y)_{W_1} < \infty
\end{equation}

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, where the module of continuity $\omega_\delta(K), \delta > 0$, of a kernel $K$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$\omega_\delta(K)(x, y) = \sup_{\max(|x' - x|, |y' - y|) \leq \delta} |K(x', y') - K(x, y)|$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

c.f. the modified module of continuity $\omega_\delta(K)$ of a kernel $K$ in (1.10). The assumptions (1.9) and (1.13) on kernels are not comparable. Kernels satisfying (1.9) could have certain blowup near the diagonal while kernels satisfying (1.13) do not allow any singularity (and even require certain regularity) near the diagonal. On the other hand, kernels satisfying (1.13) have less requirement on the decay far away from the diagonal than kernels satisfying (1.9) do.

We say that an integral operator $T$ in (1.2) is of convolution type (or a convolution operator) if its kernel $K$ can be written as $K(x, y) = g(x - y)$ for some integrable function $g$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ [1] [11] [12]. In this case, one may verify that $s_{2}(T) = \{\hat{g}(\xi) | \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \} \cup \{0\}$. This together with (1.11) implies that

$$s_{p,w}(T) = \{\hat{g}(\xi) | \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \} \cup \{0\}$$

for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $A_p$-weight $w$, provided that $Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x - y)f(y)dy$ for some integrable function $g$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the kernel $g(x - y)$ satisfies (1.9). Thus for the Bessel potentials $J_\gamma, \gamma > 0$, we have that $s_{p,w}(J_\gamma) = [0, 1]$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $A_p$-weight $w$, which is new up to our knowledge.

Denote by $\sigma_{p,w}(T)$ the spectrum of the operator $T$ on $L^p_w$ and by $\sigma_p(T)$ instead of $\sigma_{p,w_0}(T)$ for short when $w$ is the trivial weight $w_0 \equiv 1$. Clearly we have that

\begin{equation}
(1.14) \quad s_{p,w}(T) \subset \sigma_{p,w}(T)
\end{equation}
for all bounded operators $T$ on $L^p_w$. We are working on the problem whether or not the above inclusion is indeed an equality when the kernel of the operator $T$ satisfies (1.9). The reader may refer to \([1, 2, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14]\) for spectra $\sigma_{p,w}(T)$ of various integral operators $T$, and \([10, 17, 18, 19]\) for its connection to Wiener’s lemma for infinite matrices.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide some preliminary results on the boundedness, approximation and discretization of the integral operator $T$ in \([12, 2]\) on weighted function spaces $L^p_w$, and also the boundedness on weighted sequence spaces and off-diagonal decay for the discretization of the integral operator $T$ in \([12, 2]\) at different levels. The main result of this paper is Theorem 1.1, whose proof is given in Section 3. Some refinements of doubling measure property and reverse Hölder inequality for Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weights are included in the appendix.

In this paper, we will use the following notation. $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; $\ell^p_w := \ell^p_w(\Lambda)$ is the space of all weighted $p$-summable column vectors $c = (c(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ with $\|c\|_{p,w} := (\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |c(\lambda)|^p w(\lambda))^{1/p} < \infty$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w = (w(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a weight; $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_1(x) \overline{g_2(x)} dx$ provided that $g_1g_2$ is integrable; $A_p, 1 \leq p < \infty$, is the set of all $A_p$-weights; $kQ$ stands for the cube with the center same as the one of the given cube $Q$ and the radius $k$ times the one of cube $Q$; $b_K$ is the function on the positive axis such that $b_K(|x|) = r_K(x)$ is the minimally radically decreasing function in \([1, 1]\) that dominates the off-diagonal decay of a kernel $K$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$; and $C$ denotes an absolute constant which could be different at different occurrences.

2. Preliminary

We divide this section into two parts. In the first part of this section, we consider the boundedness, approximation and discretization of an integral operator whose kernel has certain off-diagonal decay and Hölder regularity. In the first subsection we recall that an integral operator, whose kernel has its off-diagonal decay dominated by an integrable radially decreasing function, is a bounded operator on $L^p_w$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $A_p$-weight $w$, see Proposition 2.1. Define $P_n, n \in \mathbb{Z},$ on $L^p_w$ by

$$P_n f = \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle f, \phi_n, 2^n \lambda \rangle \phi_n, 2^n \lambda, f \in L^p_w,$$

where $\phi_{n,k} = 2^{nd/2} \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]^d}(2^n \cdot -k)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. For $p = 2$ and the trivial weight $w \equiv 1$, $P_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are projection operators onto $V_n := P_n L^2$, which form a multiresolution analysis associated with the Haar wavelet system \([6]\). In the second subsection, we prove that an integral operator $T$ with its kernel having certain off-diagonal decay, mild singularity near the diagonal and Hölder regularity can be approximated by $P_n T$, $TP_n$ and $P_n TP_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, in the operator norm on $L^p_w$, see Proposition 2.2. As a consequence of the above approximation, we conclude that zero is in the spectrum of a localized integral operator, see Corollary 2.3. We call the operator $P_n TP_n$ the discretization of the integral operator $T$ at $n$-th level, as they are closely related to infinite matrices

$$A_n := \left(a_n(\lambda, \lambda')\right)_{\lambda, \lambda' \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d}, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
where
\[ a_n(\lambda, \lambda') = 2^{nd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_{n,2^n \lambda}(x) K(x, y) \phi_{n,2^n \lambda'}(y) dy dx, \quad \lambda, \lambda' \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d, \]

see Proposition 2.5 of the third subsection. The same discretization has been used in \[14, 19\] to establish Wiener’s lemma and stability for localized integral operators on unweighted function spaces \( L^p, 1 \leq p < \infty \).

In the second part of this section, we consider the boundedness and off-diagonal decay property of discretization matrices \( A_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \). As we always assume in the paper that the integral operator \( T \) in \( (1.2) \) is a bounded operator \( A_n \) has its kernel with certain off-diagonal decay, see Proposition 2.5 of the third subsection. The same discretization has been used in \( \ell_{w_n}^p \) for any \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( A_p \)-weight \( w \). The above proposition can be thought as a discretized version of Proposition 2.1. As we always assume in the paper that the integral operator \( T \) in \( (1.2) \) has its kernel with certain off-diagonal decay, its discretization matrices \( A_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), have similar off-diagonal decay, see Proposition 2.7 of the fifth subsection. For \( N \geq 1 \) and \( k \in N \mathbb{Z}^d \), define the localization matrix \( \Psi^N_k \) on a sequence space on \( 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d \) by
\[ (\Psi^N_k c)(\lambda) = \psi_0((\lambda - k)/N)c(\lambda) \quad \text{for } c := (c(\lambda))_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d}, \]
where \( \psi_0(x) = \max(\min(2 - |x|, 1), 0) \). In the sixth subsection, we prove that the commutators \( [A_n, \Psi^N_k] := A_n \Psi^N_k - \Psi^N_k A_n \) between the discretization matrices \( A_n \) and the localization matrices \( \Psi^N_k \) have certain off-diagonal decay, see Proposition 2.8. The above off-diagonal decay property for the commutators \( [A_n, \Psi^N_k] \) plays crucial roles in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We remark that similar off-diagonal decay property for the commutator \( [A_n, \Psi^N_k] \) has been used in \[14\] to establish the equivalence of stability of a localized integral operator on unweighted function space \( L^p \) for different exponent \( 1 \leq p < \infty \).

2.1. Boundedness of localized integral operators.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( K \) be a kernel function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \) whose off-diagonal decay is dominated by an integrable radially decreasing function (i.e., \( (1.3) \) holds). Then the integral operator \( T \) in \( (1.2) \) with kernel \( K \) is a bounded operator on \( L^p_w \) for any \( A_p \)-weight \( w \). Furthermore,
\[ \| Tf \|_{p,w} \leq C(A_p(w))^{1/p} \| r_k \|_1 \| f \|_{p,w} \]
for all weights \( w \in A_p \) and functions \( f \in L^p_w \), where \( C \) is an absolute constant that depends on \( p \) and \( d \) only.

**Proof.** It is well known that the integral operator \( T \) in \( (1.2) \) is a bounded operator on \( L^p_w \) \[7, 9, 15\]. We include a sketch of the proof for the bound estimate in \( (2.5) \).
Thus this proves (2.5) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(2.6) \[ |Tf(x)| \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_K(2^{j-1}) \int_{2^{j-1} \leq |x-y| < 2^j} |f(y)|dy \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \]

(and hence $|Tf(x)|$ is dominated by a constant multiple of the maximal function $Mf(x)$, which is bounded on $L^p_w$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ and $A_p$-weights $w$ $[7, 9, 15]$).

Then for $p = 1$,
\[
\|Tf\|_{1,w} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_K(2^{j-1}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w(x) \int_{2^{j-1} \leq |x-y| < 2^j} |f(y)|dydx
\leq A_1(w) \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_K(2^{j-1}) 2^{jd} \right) \|f\|_{1,w} \leq CA_1(w)\|r_K\|_1 \|f\|_{1,w}.
\]

This proves (2.5) for $1 < p < \infty$.

For $1 < p < \infty$, applying (2.6) and using Hölder inequality, we obtain
\[
|Tf(x)|^p \leq CA_p(w)\|r_K\|_1^{p-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_K(2^{j-1}) 2^{jd} \left( \int_{|x-y| < 2^j} w(y')dy' \right)^{-1}
\times \left( \int_{2^{j-1} \leq |x-y| < 2^j} |f(y)|^p w(y)dy \right).
\]

Thus
\[
\|Tf\|_{p,w} \leq CA_p(w)\|r_K\|_1^{p-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_K(2^{j-1}) 2^{jd}
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|^p w(y) \left( \int_{2^{j-1} \leq |x-y| < 2^j} \frac{w(x)}{\int_{|y-y'| < 2^j} w(y')dy'} dx \right) dy
\leq CA_p(w)\|r_K\|_1^{p-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_K(2^{j-1}) 2^{jd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)|^p w(y)
\times \left( \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,0,1\}} \int_{|x-y-\epsilon 2^{j-1}| < 2^{j-1}} \frac{w(x)}{\int_{|y-y'-\epsilon 2^{j-1}| < 2^{j-1}} w(y')dy'} dx \right) dy
\leq CA_p(w)\|r_K\|_1 \|f\|_{p,w}.
\]

This establishes (2.5) for $1 < p < \infty$ and completes the proof.

2.2. Approximation of localized integral operators.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $w$ be an $A_p$-weight, $K$ be a kernel function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (1.9) for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $T$ be the integral operator in (1.2) with kernel $K$, and let $P_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be as in (2.1). Then there exists an absolute constant $C$ (depending on $p$ and $d$ only) such that
\[
\|(TP_n - T)f\|_{p,w} + \|(P_nT - T)f\|_{p,w} + \|(P_nTP_n - T)f\|_{p,w}
\leq CD_0 2^{-\alpha\epsilon}(A_p(w))^{1/p}\|f\|_{p,w}
\quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, w \in A_p \text{ and } f \in L^p_w,
\]

where $D_0 = \|r_K\|_1 + \sup_{0 < \delta \leq 1} \delta^{-\alpha}\|r_K\|_1 + \sup_{0 < \delta \leq 1} \delta^{-\alpha}\|r_{\omega_0(K)}\|_1$. 


We remark that it is established in [14, Proof of Theorem 4.1] that a localized integral operator has the above approximation property on unweighted function spaces \( L^p \), \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). By Proposition 2.2, we see that \( TP_n, P_nT, P_nTP_n \) approximate the localized integral operator \( T \) in the operator norm \( \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p_w)} \) on \( L^p_w \), as \( n \) tends to infinity, i.e.,

\[
(2.10) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \| P_n T - T \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p_w)} + \| TP_n - T \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p_w)} + \| P_n TP_n - T \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p_w)} = 0.
\]

As a consequence of the above limit, zero is in the spectrum of a localized integral operator \( T \) on \( L^p_w \), c.f. \([19, \text{Theorem } 2.2 \text{ (iv)}]\).

**Corollary 2.3.** Let the integral operator \( T \) be as in Proposition 2.2. Then \( 0 \in s_{p,w}(T) \subset \sigma_{p,w}(T) \) for all \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( w \in A_p \).

**Proof.** Let \( \varphi_0 = \max(1 - |x|, 0) \) be the hat function and set \( g_n := \varphi_0 - P_n \varphi_0, n \geq 0 \). Note that \( 0 \neq g_n \in L^p_w \) and \( P_n^2 = P_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Then for all \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( w \in A_p \), we have that

\[
(2.9) \quad \inf_{\| g \|_{p,w} \neq 0} \frac{\| T g \|_{p,w}}{\| g \|_{p,w}} \leq \frac{\| (T - TP_n) g_n \|_{p,w}}{\| g_n \|_{p,w}} \leq \| TP_n - T \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p_w)} \to 0
\]
as \( n \to \infty \) by (2.8). This proves the conclusion that \( 0 \in s_{p,w}(T) \subset \sigma_{p,w}(T) \).

Now we prove Proposition 2.2.

**Proof of Proposition 2.2.** By (2.1), \( P_n \) is an integral operator with kernel

\[
P_n(x, y) := \begin{cases} 
2^{nd} & \text{if } x, y \in 2^{-n}(k + [-1/2, 1/2]^d) \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\| P_nf \|_{p,w}^p = 2^{ndp/2} \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} \left| \langle f, \phi_{n,2^n\lambda} \rangle \right|^p \int_{\lambda + 2^{-n}[-1/2,1/2]^d} w(x)dx
\]

\[
\leq A_p(w) \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\lambda + 2^{-n}[-1/2,1/2]^d} |f(x)|^pw(x)dx = A_p(w)\| f \|_{p,w}^p
\]

(2.10)

for all \( f \in L^p_w \). Thus \( TP_n - T, P_nT - T \) and \( P_nTP_n - T \) are bounded operators on \( L^p_w \) by (2.10) and Proposition 2.1.

Denote by \( K_n(x, y) \) the kernel of the integral operator \( P_nTP_n - T \). Then

\[
|K_n(x, y)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (K(x', y') - K(x, y)) P_n(x, x')P_n(y', y)dx'dy' \right|
\]

\[
\leq 2^{nd} \int_{|x' - x| \leq 2^{-n}} \int_{|y' - y| \leq 2^{-n}} |K(x', y') - K(x, y)|dx'dy'
\]

\[
\leq 2^{2d} \omega_{2^{-n}}(K)(x - y)
\]
for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|x - y| > 6 \cdot 2^{-n}$, and

$$ |K_n(x, y)| \leq |K(x, y)| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| P_n(x, x') K(x', y') P_n(y', y) \right| dx' dy' $$

$$ \leq r_K(x - y) + 2^{nd} \int_{|t| \leq 8 \cdot 2^{-n}} r_K(t) dt $$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|x - y| \leq 6 \cdot 2^{-n}$. Thus the kernel $K_n(x, y)$ of the integral operator $P_n TP_n - T$ is dominated by $h_n(x - y)$, where $h_n$ is a radially decreasing function defined by

$$ h_n(x) := \begin{cases} r_K(x) + 2^{nd} \int_{|t| \leq 8 \cdot 2^{-n}} r_K(t) dt & \text{if } |x| \leq 6 \cdot 2^{-n}, \\ 2^{2d} r_{2^{-n}(K)}(x) & \text{if } |x| > 6 \cdot 2^{-n}. \end{cases} $$

Similarly we can show that kernels of the integral operators $TP_n - T$ and $P_n T - T$ have their off-diagonal decay dominated by the same radially decreasing function $h_n$. Then the desired estimate (2.1) for the integral operators $TP_n - T, P_n T - T$ and $P_n TP_n - T, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, follows from (1.9), Proposition 2.1 and the above observation about their kernels.

**Remark 2.4.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $w$ be an $A_p$-weight, and $P_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be as in (2.1). For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$ V_{p,w}^n = \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} c(\lambda) \phi_{n,2^n \lambda} \mid (c(\lambda))_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell^p_{w,n} \right\}. $$

Then it follows from (2.1) and (2.10) that $P_{n, n} \in \mathbb{Z}$, are bounded operators from $L_p^w$ onto $V_{p,w}^n \subset L_p^w$ with their operator norm bounded by $(A_p(w))^{1/p}$; i.e., $V_{p,w}^n = P_n L_p^w$ and $\|P_n f\|_{p,w} \leq (A_p(w))^{1/p} \|f\|_{p,w}$ for all $f \in L_p^w$.

### 2.3. Discretization of localized integral operators and discretization matrices.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $w$ be an $A_p$-weight, $K$ be a kernel function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying (1.3), $T$ be the integral operator (1.2) with kernel $K$, and let $P_n$ and $A_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be as in (2.1) and (2.2) respectively. Then

$$ d_n(f) = 2^{-nd} A_n c_n(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in L_p^w, $$

where $d_n(f) = (\langle P_n TP_n f, \phi_{n,2^n \lambda} \rangle)_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d}$ and $c_n(f) = (\langle P_n f, \phi_{n,2^n \lambda} \rangle)_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d}$ for $f \in L_p^w$.

**Proof.** We mimic the argument in [14, Proof of Theorem 4.1]. Note that

$$ P_n TP_n f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} \phi_{n,2^n \lambda}(x) \phi_{n,2^n \lambda}(x') \right) $$

$$ \times K(x', y') \left( \sum_{\lambda' \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle P_n f, \phi_{n,2^n \lambda'} \rangle \phi_{n,2^n \lambda'}(y') \right) dx' dy' $$

$$ = \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( 2^{-nd} \sum_{\lambda' \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n(\lambda, \lambda') \langle P_n f, \phi_{n,2^n \lambda'} \rangle \phi_{n,2^n \lambda}(x) \right) $$

$$ = \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( 2^{-nd} \sum_{\lambda' \in 2^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n(\lambda, \lambda') \langle P_n f, \phi_{n,2^n \lambda'} \rangle \phi_{n,2^n \lambda}(x) \right) $$
for all \( f \in L^p_w \). Then (2.11) follows.

2.4. Boundedness of discretization matrices.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty, w \) be an \( A_p \)-weight, \( K \) be a kernel function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfying (1.3), and let \( A_n = (a_n(\lambda, \lambda'))_{\lambda, \lambda' \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} \), and \( w_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), be as in (2.2) and (2.3) respectively. Then \( A_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), are bounded operators on \( \ell^p_{w_n} \) with operator norm bounded by a constant multiple of \( 2^{n(d/2 + 1/p)} \| r_K \|_1 \), i.e.,

\[
\| A_n c_n \|_{p,w_n} \leq C 2^{n(d/2 + 1/p)} \| r_K \|_1 \| c_n \|_{p,w_n}
\]

for all \( c_n \in \ell^p_{w_n} \), where \( C \) is an absolute constant depending on \( p \) and \( d \) only.

**Proof.** Take \( c_n := (c_n(\lambda))_{\lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} \in \ell^p_{w_n} \) and set \( f_n = \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} c_n(\lambda) \phi_{n,2^{-n}\lambda} \). Then

\[
P_n TP_n f_n(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} \left( 2^{-nd} \sum_{\lambda' \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} a_n(\lambda, \lambda') c_n(\lambda') \right) \phi_{n,2^{-n}\lambda}(x).
\]

This, together with Proposition 2.1, implies that

\[
\| A_n c_n \|_{p,w_n} = 2^{n(d/2 + 1/p)} \| P_n TP_n f_n \|_{p,w} \leq C 2^{n(d/2 + 1/p)} \| A_p(w) \|^{3/2} \| r_K \|_1 \| f_n \|_{p,w}
\]

and hence completes the proof. \qed

2.5. Off-diagonal decay property of discretization matrices.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty, w \) be an \( A_p \)-weight, \( K \) be a kernel function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfying (1.3), and let \( A_n = (a_n(\lambda, \lambda'))_{\lambda, \lambda' \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d} \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), be as in (2.2). Then

\[
|a_n(\lambda, \lambda')| \leq \begin{cases} 2^{2nd} \int_{|t| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-n}} r_K(t)dt & \text{if } |\lambda - \lambda'| \leq 2^{-n+1}, \\ r_K((\lambda - \lambda')/2) & \text{if } |\lambda - \lambda'| > 2^{-n+1}. \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** By (2.2), we obtain that

\[
|a_n(\lambda, \lambda')| \leq 2^{2nd} \int_{|x-\lambda| \leq 2^{-n-1}, |y-\lambda'| \leq 2^{-n-1}} |K(x, y)|dydx
\]

\[
\leq 2^{2nd} \int_{|x-\lambda| \leq 2^{-n-1}} \left( \int_{|y-\lambda'| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-n}} r_K(x-y)dy \right)dx
\]

\[
\leq 2^{nd} \int_{|t| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-n}} r_K(t)dt
\]

if \( \lambda, \lambda' \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d \) with \( |\lambda - \lambda'| \leq 2^{-n+1} \), and

\[
|a_n(\lambda, \lambda')| \leq 2^{2nd} \int_{|x-\lambda| \leq 2^{-n-1}, |y-\lambda'| \leq 2^{-n-1}} r_K((\lambda - \lambda')/2)dydx
\]

\[
\leq r_K((\lambda - \lambda')/2)
\]

for all \( \lambda, \lambda' \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d \) with \( |\lambda - \lambda'| > 2^{-n+1} \). This proves (2.13). \qed
2.6. Off-diagonal decay of commutators between discretization matrices and localization matrices.

Proposition 2.8. Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, N \in \mathbb{N} \), \( w \) be an \( A_p \)-weight, \( K \) be a kernel function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfying \((1.3)\), and let discretization matrices \( A_n \), weights \( w_n \), and localization matrices \( \Psi_k^N \) be as in \((2.2) \), \((2.3) \) and \((2.4) \) respectively. Then there exists an absolute constant \( C \), depending on \( p \) and \( d \) only, such that for all \( b \in \ell^p_{w_n} \) and \( k, k' \in N\mathbb{Z}^d \),

\[
\|(\Psi_k^N A_n - A_n \Psi_k^N) b\|_{p,w_n} \leq C(A_p(w))^{1/p} 2^{nd} \|b\|_{p,w_n} \times \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sum_{|\lambda - k| \leq 2N} w_n(\lambda)}{\sum_{|\lambda' - k'| \leq 2N} w_n(\lambda')} \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } |k - k'| > 8N, \\
(2.14) \left(\frac{N^{-1/2}r_K(t)}{t^p} \right) & \text{if } |k - k'| \leq 8N.
\end{cases}
\]

A positive sequence \( w = (w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \) is said to be a discrete \( A_p \)-weight if for all \( a \in \mathbb{Z}^d \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
(2.15) \left(\frac{N^{-d} \sum_{k \in a+[0,N-1]^d} w(k)}{N^{-d} \sum_{k \in a+[0,N-1]^d} (w(k))^{-1/p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \leq A < \infty
\]

when \( 1 < p < \infty \), and

\[
(2.16) \frac{N^{-d} \sum_{k \in a+[0,N-1]^d} w(k)}{N^{-d} \sum_{k \in a-[0,N-1]^d} w(k)} \leq A \inf_{k \in a+[0,N-1]^d} w(k)
\]

when \( p = 1 \). The smallest constant \( A \) for which \((2.15)\) holds when \( 1 < p < \infty \) (for which \((2.16)\) holds when \( p = 1 \) respectively) is the discrete \( A_p \)-bound. We denote by \( A_p(w) \) the discrete \( A_p \)-bound of a discrete \( A_p \)-weight \( w \). To prove Proposition 2.8, we recall the boundedness of an infinite matrix on a weighted sequence space.

Lemma 2.9. (\cite{18} Theorem 3.2) Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty, w = (w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \) be a discrete \( A_p \)-weight, and \( A := (a(k,k'))_{k,k' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \) be an infinite matrix with \( \|A\|_{\ell^p} := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d}(\sup_{|k-k'| \geq |m|} |a(k,k')|) < \infty \). Then there exists an absolute constant \( C \) (depending on \( p \) and \( d \) only) such that \( \|Ac\|_{p,w} \leq C(A_p(w))^{1/p} \|A\|_{\ell^p}\|c\|_{p,w} \) for all \( c \in \ell^p_w \).

Proof of Proposition 2.8. Write \( (\Psi_k^N A_n - A_n \Psi_k^N) \Psi_k^{N'} = (c(\lambda, \lambda'))_{\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \). Then for \( |k - k'| \leq 8N \),

\[
|c(\lambda, \lambda')| = \left| \left( \psi_0 \left( \frac{\lambda - k}{N} \right) - \psi_0 \left( \frac{\lambda' - k}{N} \right) \right) a_n(\lambda, \lambda') \psi_0 \left( \frac{\lambda' - k'}{N} \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \min \left( \frac{|\lambda - \lambda'|}{N}, 1 \right) |a_n(\lambda, \lambda')| \psi_0 \left( \frac{\lambda' - k'}{N} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2^{n(d-1)+1} N^{-1} \int_{|t| \leq 32^{-n}} r_K(t) dt & \text{if } |\lambda - \lambda'| \leq 2^{-n+1} \\
\min(|\lambda - \lambda'|/N, 1) r_K((\lambda - \lambda')/2) & \text{if } |\lambda - \lambda'| > 2^{-n+1}
\end{array} \right.
\]

by the Lipschitz property for the function \( \psi_0 \) and the off-diagonal property for the matrix \( A_n \) in Proposition 2.7. Therefore

\[
(2.17) |c(\lambda, \lambda')| \leq C g(\lambda - \lambda') \quad \text{for all } \lambda, \lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}^d,
\]
where \((g(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d}^{2-n}\) is a radially decreasing sequence defined by
\[
g(\lambda) = \left( \frac{2(n-1)}{N} \right) \int_{|t| \leq 2^{-n}} r_K(t) dt + \frac{b_K(2^n)}{\sqrt{N}} + b_K(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}) \chi_{[0,1]}(\lambda)
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} r_K(\frac{\lambda}{2}) + b_K(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}) \right) (1 - \chi_{[\sqrt{N},\sqrt{N}]}(\lambda))
\]
and Proposition A.5.

Note that
\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d} g(\lambda) \leq C \left( \frac{2^n}{N} \right) \int_{|t| \leq \sqrt{N}/2} r_K(t) dt + \frac{b_K(2^n)}{\sqrt{N}} + 2^n \int_{|t| > \sqrt{N}/4} r_K(t) dt
\]
(2.18)

Then the conclusion (2.14) for \(|k - k'| \leq 8N\) follows from (2.17), (2.18), Lemma 2.9 and Proposition A.5.

For \(|k - k'| > 8N\),
\[
|c(\lambda, \lambda')| = |\psi_0(\frac{\lambda - k}{N}) a_n(\lambda, \lambda') \psi_0(\frac{\lambda' - k}{N})|
\]
(2.19)

by Proposition 2.7. Write \(b = (b(\lambda))_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d}^{2-n}\). Then by (2.15) and (2.19) we obtain that
\[
\| (\Psi_k^N A_n - A_n \Psi_k^N) \psi_k b \|_{p,w_n}
\leq r_K((k - k')/2) \left( \sum_{|\lambda - k| \leq 2N} w_n(\lambda) \right)^{1/p}
\times \left( \sum_{|\lambda' - k'| \leq 2N} b(\lambda') p w_n(\lambda') \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{|\lambda' - k'| \leq 2N} w_n(\lambda') \right)^{-1/(p-1)} \left( \sum_{|\lambda' - k'| \leq 2N} \right)^{(p-1)/p}
\leq 2^n r_K((k - k')/2) A_p(w)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{|\lambda - k| \leq 2N} w_n(\lambda) \right)^{1/p} \|b\|_{p,w_n}
\]
for \(1 < p < \infty\), and similarly
\[
\| (\Psi_k^N A_n - A_n \Psi_k^N) \psi_k c \|_{1,w_n}
\leq 2^n r_K((k - k')/2) A_1(w)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{|\lambda - k| \leq 2N} w_n(\lambda) \right)^{1/p} \|b\|_{1,w_n}
\]
for \(p = 1\). Hence the conclusion (2.14) for \(|k - k'| > 8N\) follows. \(\square\)
3. Stability of localized integral operators

To prove Theorem 1.1 we need several technical lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $w$ be an $A_p$-weight, and let the kernel $K$ and the integral operator $T$ with kernel $K$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Set

$$
(3.1) \quad \delta_0 = \min(r_0/(2A_p(w)), \alpha/(3d))
$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ are given in (1.9) and Proposition A.4 respectively. If $zI - T$ has $L_{w'}^p$-stability for some $r \in (0, 1]$, then it has $L_{w'r(1+s)}^p$-stability for all $s \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0]$ with $0 \leq r(1+s) \leq 1$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $w$ be an $A_p$-weight, and let the kernel $K$ and the integral operator $T$ with kernel $K$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Set

$$
(3.2) \quad \delta_1 = \min \left( (p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w))^{-1}D_1, (2(2^d+1) + 2d + 4(2^d+1) \ln A_p(w))^{-1} \alpha \right)
$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $D_1 \in (0, 1)$ are given in (1.9) and Proposition A.7 respectively. If $zI - T$ has $L_{w'}^p$-stability for some $r \in [0, \delta_1]$, then it has $L_{w'r}^p$-stability for all $r' \in [0, \delta_1]$.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and let the kernel $K$ and the integral operator $T$ with kernel $K$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Set $\delta_0 = \alpha/(3d)$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ given in (1.9). If $zI - T$ has $L_p^p$-stability, then it has $L_p^{p(1+s)}$-stability for all $s \in [-\delta_2, \delta_2]$ with $p(1+s) \geq 1$.

We assume that the conclusions in the above three lemmas hold and proceed to prove Theorem 1.1 by the bootstrap technique.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We start from assuming that $zI - T$ has the $L_{w}^p$-stability for some $z \in \mathbb{C}, p \in [1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$, and we want to prove that $zI - T$ has the $L_{w'}^{p'}$-stability for any $p' \in [1, \infty)$ and $w' \in A_{p'}$. Let $\delta_0$ and $\delta_1$ be as in (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, and select an integer $l_0$ sufficiently large such that $(1 - \delta_0)^{l_0} \leq \delta_1$. Iteratively applying Lemma 3.1 with $s = -\delta_0$ and $r = (1 - \delta_0)^l$ for $l = 0, 1, \ldots, l_0 - 1$, we obtain that $zI - T$ has $L_{w'}^{p'}$ stability for all $l = 1, \ldots, l_0$. Then applying Lemma 3.2 with $r = (1 - \delta_0)^{l_0}$ and $r' = 0$ leads to the $L_p^p$-stability of $zI - T$.

Select an integer $l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in [-\delta_2, \delta_2]$ such that $(1 + s)^{l_1} = p'/p$. Then iteratively applying Lemma 3.3 with $p$ replaced by $p(1+s)^l, l = 0, 1, \ldots, l_1 - 1$, yields the $L_{w}^{p'}$-stability of $zI - T$.

Let $\delta_0'$ and $\delta_1'$ be as in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 with $p$ replaced by $p'$ and $w$ by $w'$, and select an integer $l_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(1 + \delta_0')^{-l_3} \leq \delta_1'$. Applying Lemma 3.2 with $p$ replaced by $p'$, $w$ by $w'$, $r$ by 0 and $r'$ by $(1 + \delta_0')^{-l_3}$ leads to the $L_{w'}^{p'}$ stability of $zI - T$. We then reach the desired $L_{w'}^{p'}$-stability of the operator $zI - T$ by iteratively applying Lemma 3.1 with $p$ replaced by $p', w$ by $w'$, $s$ by $\delta_0'$ and $r$ by $(1 + \delta_0')^{-l_3+l}, l = 0, 1, \ldots, l_3 - 1$. \qed
3.1. **Proof of Lemma 3.1.** Let $zI - T$ have the $L^p_{w,r}$-stability. Then there exists a positive constant $C_1$ such that

$$
\|(zI - T)f\|_{p,w,r} \geq C_1 \|f\|_{p,w,r} 
$$

for all $f \in L^p_{w,r}$. From Proposition 2.2 it follows that

$$
\|(T - P_n TP_n)f\|_{p,w,r} \leq C_2 D_0 2^{-\alpha n} \|A_p(w)\|^{1/p} \|f\|_{p,w,r}
$$

(3.4) where $D_0 = \|r_K\|_1 + \sup_{0<\delta \leq 1} \delta^{-\alpha} \|r_K\chi(-\delta)\|_1 + \sup_{0<\delta \leq 1} \delta^{-\alpha} \|r_{\omega_{\delta2}}(K)\|_1$ and $C_2$ is an absolute constant in Proposition 2.2. Let $n_0$ be a positive integer such that $C_2 D_0 2^{-\alpha n_0} \|A_p(w)\|^{1/p} \leq C_1/2$. Then for all $n \geq n_0$ and $f \in L^p_{w,r}$,

$$
\|(zI - P_n TP_n)f\|_{p,w,r} \geq \frac{C_1}{2} \|f\|_{p,w,r}
$$

by (3.3) and (3.4). Define

$$
(w^r)_n = \left(2^{nd} \int_{\lambda+2^{-n}[1/2,1/2]^d} (w(x))^r dx\right)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d}
$$

and

$$
(V^r)_n = \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c(\lambda)\phi_{n,\lambda} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c(\lambda)|^p (w^r)_n(\lambda) < \infty \right\}.
$$

Note that for any $f_n := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c(\lambda)\phi_{n,\lambda} \in (V^r)_n$,

$$
\|f_n\|_{p,w,r} = \left(2^{ndp/2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c(\lambda)|^p \int_{\lambda+2^{-n}[1/2,1/2]^d} w(x)^r dx\right)^{1/p}
$$

(3.8) and

$$
\|(zI - P_n TP_n)f_n\|_{p,w,r} = \left(2^{nd(1/2-1/p)} \|c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}\right)^{1/p}
$$

by Proposition 2.5, where $A_n$ is defined in (2.2). Then applying (3.5) to $f_n \in (V^r)_n$, and using (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain a discretized version of the $L^p_{w,r}$-stability of $zI - T$:

$$
\|(zI - 2^{-n}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r)_n} \geq \frac{C_1}{2} \|c\|_{p,(w^r)_n} 
$$

for all $c \in \ell^p_{(w^r)_n}$ and $n \geq n_0$.

To prove the $L^p_{w^r(1+s)}$-stability of $zI - T$, we need the following claim, a weak version of the above stability with weight $w^r$ replaced by $w^{r(1+s)}$.

**Claim 1:** There exists a positive constant $\tilde{C}$ such that

$$
\|(zI - 2^{-n}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r(1+s))_n} \geq \tilde{C} 2^{-2nd[s]} \|c\|_{p,(w^{r(1+s)})_n}
$$

(3.11) for all $c \in \ell^p_{(w^{r(1+s)})_n}$ and $n \geq n_0$.

We assume that Claim 1 holds and proceed our proof. Applying (3.8) and (3.9) with $f_n$ replaced by $P_n f$ and $w^r$ by $w^{r(1+s)}$ and using (3.11), we have

$$
C_2 2^{-2nd[s]} \|P_n f\|_{p,w^{r(1+s)}} \leq \|(zI - P_n TP_n)f\|_{p,w^{r(1+s)}}
$$

(3.12)
for all \( f \in L^p_{w^r(1+s)} \) and \( n \geq n_0 \). As noted in Remark 2.4

\[
\|g\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} \leq \|P_n g\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} + \|(I - P_n)g\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} \\
\leq (1 + 2A_p(w))\|g\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} \quad \text{for all } g \in L^p_{w^r(1+s)}.
\]

(3.13)

Let integer \( n_1 \) be so chosen that \( \tilde{C}2^{-2n_1\delta_0} \leq |z| \) and \( CD_0(A_p(w))^{1/p_2-n_1\alpha/3} \leq \tilde{C}/2 \) where \( C \) is the positive constant in Proposition 2.1. Recall that \( \delta_0 < \alpha/(3d) \) by assumption and \( z \neq 0 \) by (3.9) and (3.3). Then applying (3.12) and (3.13) and letting \( n = \max(n_0, n_1) \), we obtain that

\[
\|(zI - T)f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} \\
\geq (1 + 2A_p(w))^{-1}\left(\|P_n(zI - T)f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} + \|(I - P_n)(zI - T)f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)}\right) \\
\geq (1 + 2A_p(w))^{-1}\left(\|P_n(zI - T)f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} + |z|\|f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} - \|(I - P_n)f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)}\right) \\
\geq (1 + 2A_p(w))^{-1}\left(\tilde{C}2^{-2n_1\delta_0}|z|\|P_n f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} + |z|\|f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)} - CD_0(A_p(w))^{1/p_2-n_1\alpha/3}\|f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)}\right) \\
(3.14) \geq (1 + 2A_p(w))^{-1}\tilde{C}2^{-2n_1\delta_0} - 1\|f\|_{p,w^r(1+s)}
\]

for all \( f \in L^p_{w^r(1+s)} \) with \( s \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \), where \( C \) is the positive constant in Proposition 2.1. This establishes the desired \( L^p_{w^r(1+s)} \)-stability for the operator \( zI - T \) when \( |s| \leq \delta_0 \).

Now it remains to prove Claim 1. Let \( N \) be a sufficiently large integer chosen later and \( \Psi^N_k, k \in N^d \), be given in (2.3). Define \( \Phi_N = (\sum_{k \in N^d}(\Psi^N_k)^2)^{-1} \). Then \( \Phi_N \) is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries being positive and less than one, which implies that

\[
\|\Phi_N c\|_{p,(w^r)_n} \leq \|c\|_{p,(w^r)_n} \quad \text{for all } c \in \ell^p_{(w^r)_n}.
\]

(3.15)

Define

\[
(\alpha^r)_k = \sum_{|\lambda-k| \leq 2N} (w^r)_n(\lambda) = 2^n \int_{k+[2N-2^{-n-1},2N+2^{-n-1})^d} w(x)^r dx, \quad k \in N^d.
\]

(3.16)
By (3.10), (3.15), (3.16) and Proposition 2.8 we get
\[
\frac{C_1}{2} \left\| \Psi_k^N c \right\|_{p,(w')_n} \leq \left\| (zI - 2^{-n} A_n) \Psi_k^N c \right\|_{q,(w')_n} \frac{C}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}} + C_2 (A_p(w))^{1/p}
\]
\[
+ 2^{-nd} \sum_{k' \in N \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( N^{-1/2} \left\| r_K \right\|_1 + \int_{|t| \geq \sqrt{N}/4} r_K(t) dt \right) \left\| \Phi_N \Psi_k^N c \right\|_{p,(w')_n} \frac{C}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}}
\]
\[
+ C_3 (A_p(w))^{1/p} N^d \sum_{k' \in N \mathbb{Z}^d} r_K((k - k')/2) \left\| \Phi_N \Psi_k^N c \right\|_{q,(w')_n} \frac{C}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}}
\]
for any bounded sequence $c$, where $C_3$ is an absolute constant depending on $p$ and $d$ only. Thus
\[
\left\| \Psi_k^N c \right\|_{p,(w')_n} \leq \frac{C_4}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}} \left( N^{-1/2} \left\| r_K \right\|_1 + \int_{|t| \geq \sqrt{N}/4} r_K(t) dt \right) \chi_{[-8N,8N]^d}(k)
\]
\[
+ C_4 (A_p(w))^{1/p} \sum_{k' \in N \mathbb{Z}^d} g_N(k - k') \left\| \Psi_k^N c \right\|_{p,(w')_n} \frac{C}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}}
\]
(3.17)
for any bounded sequence $c$, where $C_4$ is an absolute constant depending on $p$ and $d$ only, and the sequence $(g_N(k))_{k \in N \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is defined by
\[
g_N(k) = \left( N^{-1/2} \left\| r_K \right\|_1 + \int_{|t| \geq \sqrt{N}/4} r_K(t) dt \right) \chi_{[-8N,8N]^d}(k)
\]
\[
+ N^d r_K(k/2) \chi_{N^d \setminus [-8N,8N]^d}(k), \quad k \in N \mathbb{Z}^d.
\]
Let $\mathcal{B}$ contain all sequences $a := (a(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ with $\|a\|_B := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{|k| \geq |m|} |a(k)| < \infty$ (II), and denote by $a * b$ the convolution of two summable sequences $a$ and $b$ on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Recall that there exists a positive constant $D$ such that $\|a * b\|_B \leq D \|a\|_B \|b\|_B$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ [2, 3, 4, 18]. Then $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_B)$ is a Banach algebra under convolution. Note that $(g_N(Nk))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a radially decreasing sequence, we then have
\[
\left\| (g_N(Nk))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \right\|_B = \sum_{k \in N \mathbb{Z}^d} g_N(k) \leq C_5 (N^{-1/2} \|r_K\|_1 + \int_{|t| \geq \sqrt{N}/4} r_K(t) dt) \rightarrow 0
\]
as $N \to \infty$, where $C_5$ is an absolute constant depending on $p$ and $d$. Now we select a sufficiently large integer $N$ so that
\[
C_4 C_5 (A_p(w))^{1/p} \left( N^{-1/2} \|r_K\|_1 + \int_{|t| \geq \sqrt{N}/4} r_K(t) dt \right) < \frac{1}{2D}.
\]
Applying (3.17) iteratively and using the Banach algebra property for $B$, we obtain that
\begin{equation}
\frac{\|\Psi^N_k c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{((\alpha^r)_k)^1/p} \leq C_4 \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}^d} V(k - k') \frac{\|\Psi^N_{k'}(zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{((\alpha^r)_{k'})^{1/p}}
\end{equation}
hold for all bounded sequence $c$, where
\begin{equation}
V(k) = \delta(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (C_4 (A_p(w))^{1/p})^l \prod_{l \text{ times}} g_N(k)
\end{equation}
and $\delta(0) = 1$ and $\delta(k) = 0$ for all nonzero integer $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$. One may verify that
\begin{equation}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{|l| \geq |m|} V(l) < \infty.
\end{equation}

Set $Q_\lambda = \lambda + 2^{-n}[1-1/2,1/2)^d, \lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $L_k = k + [2N - 2^{-n-1},2N + 2^{-n-1})^d, k \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then applying (A.1) with replacing $Q$ by $L_k$ and $f$ by the characteristic function on $Q_\lambda$ and $w$ by $w^r$, we have
\begin{equation}
1 \geq \frac{\int_{Q_\lambda} w(x)^r dx}{\int_{L_k} w(x)^r dx} \geq (A_p(w))^{-1} 2^{-ndp} (4N + 1)^{-dp}
\end{equation}
for all $\lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\lambda - k| \leq 2N$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $c \in \ell^p_{w^r_{\alpha^r(1+s)}} \cap \ell^\infty$, we obtain from (3.18), (3.21) and Proposition A.4 that
\begin{equation}
\frac{\|\Psi^N_k c\|_{p,(w^r(1+s))_n}}{((\alpha^r(1+s))_k)^{1/p}} \leq C(A_p(w))^{1+p/2} 2^{nds} \frac{\|\Psi^N_k c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\leq C(A_p(w))^{1+p/2} 2^{nds} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}^d} V(k - k') \frac{\|\Psi^N_{k'}(zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{((\alpha^r)_{k'})^{1/p}}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\leq C(A_p(w))^{3/p} 2^{2nds} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}^d} V(k - k') \frac{\|\Psi^N_{k'}(zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r(1+s))_n}}{((\alpha^r(1+s))_{k'})^{1/p}}
\end{equation}
for all $s \in [0, \delta_0]$, where $C$ is an absolute constant. Similarly for all $s \in [-\delta_0, 0]$ we have
\begin{equation}
\frac{\|\Psi^N_k c\|_{p,(w^r(1+s))_n}}{((\alpha^r(1+s))_k)^{1/p}} \leq C(A_p(w))^{3/p} 2^{2nds} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}^d} V(k - k') \frac{\|\Psi^N_{k'}(zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r(1+s))_n}}{((\alpha^r(1+s))_{k'})^{1/p}}
\end{equation}
where $k \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $c \in \ell^p_{w^r_{\alpha^r(1+s)}} \cap \ell^\infty$. By Proposition A.5 with $w$ replaced by $w^r(1+s)$, $v_N = ((\alpha^r(1+s))_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ is a discrete $A_p$-weight with $A_p(v_N) \leq A_p(w^r(1+s)) \leq A_p(w)$. 

This, together with (3.20), (3.22), (3.23) and Lemma 2.9 implies that
\[
\|c\|_{p,(w^{r(1+s)})_n} \leq \left( \sum_{k\in \mathbb{N}Z^d} \left( \frac{\|\Psi_k^N c\|_{p,(w^{r(1+s)})_n}}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C_6 2^{2nd}[s]\| (zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^{r(1+s)})_n}
\]
for all \( c \in \ell^p_{(w^{r(1+s)})_n} \cap \ell^\infty \) and \( n \geq n_0 \), where \( C_6 \) is an absolute constant independent of \( n \geq n_0 \) and \( r \in (0, 1] \) and \( s \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0] \). Then Claim 1 follows and Lemma 3.1 is proved.

3.2. Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let \( zI - T \) have the \( L^p_{w^r} \)-stability. From the argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.1 there exist a sufficiently large integer \( N \) and a sequence \( V \) satisfying (3.20) such that
\[
(3.24) \quad \frac{\|\Psi_k^N c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}} \leq C_3 \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}Z^d} V(k - k') \frac{\|\Psi_k^N (zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{(\alpha^r)^{1/p}}
\]
hold for all bounded sequence \( c \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N}Z^d \), where \( \Psi_k^N \) and \( (\alpha^r)_k \) are given in (2.4) and (3.16) respectively. Note that \( L_k \subseteq 2^{n+5}NQ_\lambda \) and \( 2^{n+1}NQ_\lambda \subseteq 2L_k \) when \( k \in \mathbb{N}Z^d \) and \( \lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d \) with \( |\lambda - k| \leq 2N \). Then by Proposition A.1
\[
(3.25) \quad C_1 2^{-d(p-1)rn} \leq \frac{\frac{\|\Psi_k^N c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{((\alpha^r)_k)^{1/p}}}{\frac{\|\Psi_k^N (zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{(\alpha^r)^{1/p}}} \leq C_2 (2^p(A_p(w))^2)^{(2d+1)rn} \leq C_2 (2^p(A_p(w))^2)^{(2d+1)rn}
\]
for all \( r \in [0, \delta_1], k \in \mathbb{N}Z^d \) and \( \lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d \) with \( |\lambda - k| \leq 2N \), where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are absolute constants. Therefore for \( r' \in [0, \delta_1], k \in \mathbb{N}Z^d \) and \( \lambda \in 2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d \) with \( |\lambda - k| \leq 2N \), we get from (3.24) and (3.25) that
\[
(3.26) \quad \|c\|_{p,(w^{r'})_n} \leq C2^{-nd/p}(2^p(A_p(w))^2)^{(2d+1)r'\ln p} \|\Psi_k^N c\|_p \leq C(2^p(A_p(w))^2)^{(2d+1)r'\ln p} \|\Psi_k^N c\|_{p,(w^r)_n} \leq C(2^p(A_p(w))^2)^{(2d+1)r'\ln p} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}Z^d} V(k - k') \times \frac{\|\Psi_k^N (zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^r)_n}}{(\alpha^r)^{1/p}} \leq C(2^p(A_p(w))^2)^{(2d+1)(r+r')n/p} 2^{d(p-1)(r+r')n/p} \| (zI - 2^{-n}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^{r'})_n}
\]
This together with (3.20) and Lemma 2.9 implies that
\[
(3.26) \quad \|c\|_{p,(w^{r'})_n} \leq C(2^p(A_p(w))^2)^{(2d+1)(r+r')n/p} 2^{d(p-1)(r+r')n/p} \| (zI - 2^{-n}A_n)c\|_{p,(w^{r'})_n}
\]
for all bounded sequences $c$ in $\ell^p_{(w^r)_n}$. Therefore the desired $L^p_{w^r}$-stability for the operator $zI - T$ follows by using the argument to establish (3.14) with applying (3.26) instead of (3.11).

### 3.3. Proof of Lemma 3.3

Let $zI - T$ has the $L^p$-stability. Similar to the argument to establish (3.18), there exist a sufficiently large integer $N$ and a sequence $V = (V(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d}$ satisfying (3.20) such that

$$
\|\Psi^N_k c\|_p \leq C \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}^d} V(k - k') \|\Psi^N_k (zI - 2^{-n}A_n)c\|_p
$$

for all bounded sequence $c$. Note that for $1 \leq q_1, q_2 < \infty$,

$$(2^{d(n+2)}N^d)^{-\max(1/q_2 - 1/q_1, 0)} \|\Psi^N_k c\|_{q_2} \leq \|\Psi^N_k c\|_{q_1} \leq (2^{n+2}N)^{\max(1/q_1 - 1/q_2, 0)} \|\Psi^N_k c\|_{q_2}.
$$

(3.28)

Combining (3.27) and (3.28) leads to

$$
\|\Psi^N_k c\|_{p(1+s)} \leq C 2^{2nd[s]} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{N}^d} V(k - k') \|\Psi^N_k (zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p(1+s)}
$$

for all bounded sequences $c$ and $s \in [-\delta_2, \delta_2]$. Hence

$$
\|c\|_{p(1+s)} \leq C 2^{2nd[s]} \|\Psi^N (zI - 2^{-nd}A_n)c\|_{p(1+s)}
$$

(3.29)

for all $c \in \ell^p_{p(1+s)}$. Therefore the desired $L^p(1+s)$-stability of the operator $zI - T$ follows by using the argument to establish (3.14) with applying (3.29) instead of (3.11).

### APPENDIX A. Doubling property and reverse Hölder inequality for Muckenhoupt weights

In this appendix, we provide some refinements of doubling property and reverse Hölder inequality for Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weights. Those refinements are important for the validation of the bootstrap technique used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

#### A.1. Doubling property of Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weights

An alternative way of defining Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weights is

$$(A.1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)|dx\right)^p \leq \frac{A}{\int_Q w(x)dx} \int_Q |f(x)|^p w(x)dx$$

for all locally integrable functions $f$ and cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The smallest constant $A$ for which (A.1) holds is the same as the $A_p$-bound $A_p(w)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Applying (A.1) with $Q$ replaced by $2^n Q$ and by the characteristic function on $Q$ gives that $w dx$ (or $w$ for short) is a doubling measure; i.e.,

$$(A.2) \quad \frac{1}{|2^n Q|} \int_{2^n Q} w(x)dx \leq 2^{nd(p-1)} A_p(w) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)dx\right)$$

for all positive integers $n$ and cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In this subsection, we consider the doubling measure property of weights $w^r$ with sufficiently small $r > 0$. 


Proposition A.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w$ be an $A_p$-weight. Then there exist absolute constants $C_0$ and $D_1$ (that depend on $p$ and $d$ only) such that

$$
(A.3) \quad (A_p(w))^{-r2^{-r}d(p-1)} \leq \frac{1}{|Q|^{r}} \int_{Q} (w(x))^{r}dx \leq C_0(2p(A_p(w))^{2}(2d+1)^{rn}
$$

for all integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$, cubes $Q$ and numbers $r \in [0, D_1/(p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w))]$.

We say that a locally integrable function $f$ has bounded mean oscillation, or BMO for short, if $\|f\|_{BMO} := \sup_{\text{cubes } Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f(x) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} f(y)dy| \, dx < \infty$. To prove Proposition A.1, we recall that $\ln w$ has bounded mean oscillation whenever $w$ is an $A_p$-weight for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ [7, 15].

Lemma A.2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. Then $\ln w$ has bounded mean oscillation and

$$
(A.4) \quad \| \ln w \|_{BMO} \leq p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w).
$$

Proof. We follow the arguments in [7, p. 151] and [15, p.197], and include a proof for the BMO bound estimate in (A.4) that will be used for our establishment of Proposition A.1. Let $w$ be an $A_p$-weight with $1 < p < \infty$. Take an arbitrary cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and denote by $c_Q := \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \ln w(y)dy$ the average of the function $\ln w$ on the cube $Q$. As $w$ is an $A_p$-weight,

$$
(A.5) \quad \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{\ln w(x) - c_Q}dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{-\frac{1}{p} \frac{\ln w(x) - c_Q}{1}}dx \right)^{p-1} \leq A_p(w).
$$

Note that

$$
(A.6) \quad \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{\ln w(x) - c_Q}dx \right) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{-\frac{1}{p} \frac{\ln w(x) - c_Q}{1}}dx \right) \geq 1
$$

by applying Jensen’s inequality

$$
(A.7) \quad \exp \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} f(x)dx \right) \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{f(x)}dx
$$

with $f$ replaced by $(\ln w(x) - c_Q)$ and $-(\ln w(x) - c_Q)/(p-1)$ respectively. Thus combining (A.5) and (A.6), we have

$$
(A.8) \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{\ln w(x) - c_Q}dx \leq A_p(w) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{-\frac{1}{p} \frac{\ln w(x) - c_Q}{1}}dx \leq (A_p(w))^{1/(p-1)}.
$$

Using the estimates in (A.8) and applying Jensen’s inequality (A.7) with $f$ replaced by $\max(\ln w(x) - c_Q, 0)$ and $\max(c_Q - \ln w(x), 0)/(p-1)$ respectively, we get

$$
(A.9) \quad \exp \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \max(\ln w(x) - c_Q, 0)dx \right) \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{\max(\ln w(x) - c_Q, 0)}dx
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{\ln w(x) - c_Q}dx + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^0dx \leq A_p(w) + 1 \leq 2A_p(w)
$$
and
\[ \exp \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \max(c_Q - \ln w(x), 0) \, dx \right) \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{\max(c_Q - \ln w(x), 0)/(p-1)} \, dx \]
(A.10) \[ \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{(c_Q - \ln w(x))/(p-1)} \, dx + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^0 \, dx \leq 2(A_p(w))^{1/(p-1)}. \]
The desired BMO bound estimate (A.4) then follows from (A.9) and (A.10).

The first inequality in (A.11) follows by applying Jensen’s inequality (A.7) for all cubes $Q$, which leads to the second inequality in (A.11) for $p = 1$ and $0 < r \leq D_1/(p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w))$.

**Lemma A.3.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. Then there exist absolute positive constants $C$ and $D_1$ (that depend on $p$ and $d$ only) such that
\[ \exp \left( \frac{r}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \ln w(x) \, dx \right) \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} (w(x))^r \, dx \leq C \exp \left( \frac{r}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \ln w(x) \, dx \right) \]
hold for all cubes $Q$ and all $r \in [0, D_1/(p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w))]$.

**Proof.** The first inequality in (A.11) follows by applying Jensen’s inequality (A.7) with $f$ replaced by $r \ln w$.

For $p = 1$ and $0 < r \leq D_1/(p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w))$, \[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} (w(x))^r \, dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x) \, dx \right)^r \leq (A_1(w))^r \inf_{x \in Q} (w(x))^r \]
\[ \leq e^{D_1/2} \exp \left( \frac{r}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \ln w(x) \, dx \right) \]
for all cubes $Q$, which leads to the second inequality in (A.11) for $p = 1$. Now we prove the second inequality in (A.11) provided that $1 < p < \infty$. By Lemma A.2 and the John-Nirenberg inequality for functions with bounded mean oscillation, there exist absolute positive constants $D_1$ and $D_2$ such that
\[ |\{ x \in Q : |\ln w(x) - c_Q| > \alpha \}| \leq D_2 \exp \left( -2D_1 \alpha/\| \ln w \|_{BMO} \right) |Q| \]
\[ \leq D_2 \exp \left( -\frac{2D_1 \alpha}{p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w)} \right) |Q| \]
for all cubes $Q$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $c_Q := \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \ln w(y) \, dy$ is the average of the function $\ln w$ on the cube $Q$. Therefore
\[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} e^{r|\ln w(x) - c_Q|} \, dx = 1 + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left( t - t \frac{2D_1}{r(p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w))} \right) dt \leq 1 + D_2 \]
for all $r \in [0, D_1/(p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w))]$. Thus
\[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w(x)^r \, dx \leq e^{cr} \left( \frac{r}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \ln w(x) \, dx \right) \leq (1 + D_2) \exp \left( \frac{r}{|Q|} \int_{Q} \ln w(x) \, dx \right) \]
and the second inequality in (A.11) for $1 < p < \infty$ follows. \[ \square \]
Now we prove Proposition A.1.

**Proof of Proposition A.1.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w$ be an $A_p$-weight. Then for $0 < r \leq 1$, $w \in A_{1+r(p-1)}$ with its $A_{1+r(p-1)}$-bound dominated by $(A_p(w))^r$. Then applying (A.2) with $w$ replaced by $w^r$ and $p$ by $1 + r(p - 1)$, we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (w(x))^r dx \geq (A_{1+r(p-1)}(w^r))^{-1} 2^{-r n d(p-1)} \geq (A_q(w))^{-r} 2^{-r n d(p-1)}
\]

for all positive integer $n$ and cubes $Q$. This establishes the first inequality in (A.3). By Lemmas A.2 and A.3 we get

\[
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q (w(x))^r dx \leq C \exp \left( r \frac{1}{|2nQ|} \int_{2nQ} \ln w(x) dx - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \ln w(x) dx \right)
\]

\[
\leq C \exp \left( r \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|2^{k+1}Q|} \int_{2^{k+1}Q} \ln w(x) dx - \frac{1}{|2^kQ|} \int_{2^kQ} \ln w(x) dx \right)
\]

\[
\leq C \exp \left( (2^d + 1) r n \| \ln w \|_{\text{BMO}} \right) \leq C \exp \left( (2^d + 1) r n (p \ln 2 + 2 \ln A_p(w)) \right).
\]

This proves the second inequality in (A.3). \hfill \Box

A.2. **Reverse Hölder inequality for Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weights.** One of key results for Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weights is the reverse Hölder inequality, which states that for any $A_p$-weight $w, 1 \leq p < \infty$, there exist constants $C$ and $\epsilon > 0$ (depending on $p, d$ and $A_p(w)$ only) such that \((\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{(1+\epsilon)} dx)^{1/(1+\epsilon)} \leq C \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx\) for any cube $Q$ [7, 15]. In this subsection, we consider the reverse Hölder inequality for weights $w^r, r \in [0, 1]$.

**Proposition A.4.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. Then there exist a positive constant $r_0$ (depending on $p$ and $d$ only) such that

\[
(2^{p+2} A_p(w))^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{(1+\delta)r} dx \right)^{1/(1+\delta)} \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r dx
\]

\[
(A.12)
\]

\[
\leq 2^{p+2} A_p(w) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{(1-\delta)r} dx \right)^{1/(1-\delta)}
\]

hold for all cubes $Q$ and positive numbers $r \in (0, 1]$ and $\delta \in (0, r_0/A_p(w)]$.

**Proof.** We follow the argument in [15, pp. 202–203]. Let $r \in (0, 1]$ and $w \in A_p$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $w^r \in A_{1+r(p-1)} \subset A_p$ and $A_p(w^r) \leq A_{1+r(p-1)}(w^r) \leq (A_p(w))^r \leq A_p(w)$. Therefore taking the characteristic function on a subset $E$ of a cube $Q$ in (A.1) leads to

\[
\frac{\int_E w(x)^r dx}{\int_Q w(x)^r dx} \geq \frac{1}{A_p(w)} \left( \frac{|E|}{|Q|} \right)^p
\]
for any subset $E \subset Q$. This implies that for all cubes $Q$ and subsets $E \subset Q$ with $|E| \leq |Q|/2$,
\[
\frac{\int_E w(x)^p \, dx}{\int_Q w(x)^p \, dx} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{A_p(w)} \left( \frac{|Q - E|}{|Q|} \right)^p \leq 2^p A_p(w) - 1.
\]

Let $\delta_1 = \left( 2^{p+3}(d+1)A_p(w) \right)^{-1}$. Then $2^{2(d+1)} \delta_1 (1 - \frac{1}{2^p A_p(w)}) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{2^{p+1} A_p(w)})$ and for any $\delta \in (0, \delta_1]$, following the steps in [15, pp.202–203] we get
\[
\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^{r(1+\delta)} \, dx \right)^{1/(1+\delta)} \leq \left( 1 + \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{2(d+1)(k+1)\delta} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^p A_p(w)} \right)^k \right)^{1/(1+\delta)}
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right) \leq 2^{p+2} A_p(w) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right)
\]
and
\[
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \leq \left( 1 + \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{2(d+1)(k+1)\delta/(1-\delta)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^p A_p(w)} \right)^k \right)
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right)^{1/(1-\delta)} \leq 2^{p+2} A_p(w) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x)^r \, dx \right)^{1/(1-\delta)}.
\]

This establishes (A.12) and completes the proof. \qed

A.3. Discrete Muckenhoupt weights. Muckenhoupt $A_p$-weights and discrete $A_p$-weights are closely related. Given a discrete $A_p$-weight $w = (w(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$, one may verify that $\bar{w} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} w(k) \chi_{[-1/2,1/2]^d}(\cdot - k)$ is an $A_p$-weight with its $A_p$-bound comparable to the $A_p$-bound of the discrete weight $w$. Conversely, discretization of an $A_p$-weight at any level is a discrete $A_p$-weight.

**Proposition A.5.** Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w$ be an $A_p$-weight, and define
\[
w_n(k) = 2^{nd} \int_{2^{-n}[k+[1/2,1/2]^d]} w(x) \, dx, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d.
\]
Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w_n := (w_n(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a discrete $A_p$-weight with its $A_p$-bound dominated by the $A_p$-bound of the weight $w$, i.e., $A_p(w_n) \leq A_p(w)$.

**Proof.** Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $N \in \mathbb{N},$
\[
\left( \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{k \in a+[0,N-1]^d} w_n(k) \right) \left( \frac{1}{N^d} \sum_{k \in a+[0,N-1]^d} (w_n(k))^{-1/(p-1)} \right)^{p-1} 
\]
\[
\leq \left( \frac{1}{2^{-nd}N^d} \int_{2^{-n}[a+[1/2,1/2]^d]} w(x) \, dx \right) 
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{2^{-nd}N^d} \int_{2^{-n}[a+[1/2,1/2]^d]} w(x)^{-1/(p-1)} \, dx \right)^{p-1} \leq A_p(w)
\]
where the first inequality follows from
\[
1 \leq \left(2^{nd} \int_{2^{-n}k+2^{-n}[-1/2,1/2]^d} w(x) dx \right)
\times \left(2^{nd} \int_{2^{-n}k+2^{-n}[-1/2,1/2]^d} w(x)^{-1/(p-1)} dx \right)^{p-1}
\]
for all \(k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\), and the second inequality holds as
\[
|2^{-n}a + 2^{-n}[-1/2, N - 1/2)| = 2^{-nd}N^d.
\]
The conclusion for \(p = 1\) can be proved by similar argument. □
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